

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,320	07/09/2001	Rosario Brancato	118.002US01	7767
75	90 11/22/2002			
Fogg, Slifer & Polglaze, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 58100 Minneapolis, M	•		SAUCIER, SANDRA E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/901,320

Applicant(s)

Brancato et al.

Examiner

Sandra Saucier

Art Unit 1651



 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears 	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM			
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no	event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the st If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and s Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the a Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. pplication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Oct 23, 20</u>				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This actio	n is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex par				
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 24</u>	is/are pending in the applica			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 24</u>	is/are rejected.			
7)	is/are objected to.			
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem			
Application Papers	· ·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ard	e a∑ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin				
	is: a approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to thi				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗓 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☒None of:				
1. 🔀 Certified copies of the priority documents have b	een received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority docu application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the ce				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional a				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. 99 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)	1)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) ☐Notice of Informal Patent Application (P10-152) 6) ☐Other:			
o,	v,			

Application/Control Number: 09/901320

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-13 and 24 are pending and are considered on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 INDEFINITE

Claims 1-13 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The method claims have no active steps such as "applying a medicament comprising ... Q_{10} to the cornea of the eye of the subject", rather merely stipulate the "use". The claims provide for the use of CoQ_{10} , but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

The method claims have no recipient of the the action such as, "A method for treating a subject having pathology of the anterior chamber of the eye or incidental or post-surgical trauma of the anterior chamber of the eye comprising: applying...".

Claim 11 requires that the castor oil be modified, but fails to specify what the modification is. The word "modified" is not defined in the specification and is not a term of art with regard to chemical compounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made

Art Unit: 1651

in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-10 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/11242 [L].

The claims are directed to the use of CoQ_{10} to prevent and treat pathologies of the eye. The claims do not require that the CoQ_{10} by topically applied to the eye. The claims do not require that the eye be post-surgical, but rather can be read to require only the use of CoQ_{10} to prevent damage from exposure to the sun. All eyes could benefit from this result. Therefore, because the claims are so indefinite, any use of CoQ_{10} , orally administered or otherwise could prevent damage to the eye from exposure to the sun and any administration is considered to read upon the claims. Please note that claims 5–9 do not REQUIRE that the eye be post-surgical, only that if it were post-surgical, the surgery would be a LASIK type treatment, for example.

Claim 10 does not require that CoQ_{10} be administered topically, only that the composition is a topical formulation.

The references are relied upon as explained below.

WO 1999/11242 teaches the administering of CoQ_{10} intraocularly. The inherent result of this administration would reasonably be expected to be the same result as obtained by the claimed method since the claimed method only requires the use of CoQ_{10} to prevent damage induced by the sun. Patients administered the composition of example 2, page 8, intraocularly (abstract) would obtain this benefit as an inherent result of the method. The claims require nothing more.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated at the time of invention to perform the claimed method as suggested by the reference with a reasonable expectation of success. The claimed subject matter fails to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references. Therefore, the claims are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Claims 11-13 appear to be free of the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/901320 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1651. The supervisor for 1651 is M. Wityshyn, (703) 308-4743. The normal work schedule for Examiner Saucier is 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM Monday, Tuesday and 8:30 AM to noon on Wednesday.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Saucier whose telephone number is (703) 308–1084. Status inquiries must be directed to the Customer Service Desk at (703) 308–0197 or (703)–308–0198. The number of the Fax Center for the faxing of official papers is (703) 872–9306 or for after finals (703) 872–9307.

Sandra Saucier Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

November 19, 2002